LAURA BUTTIMORE

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Tēnā Tatou, ngā mihi nui ki a koutou katoa.

Ko Laura Buttimore au, he Kaiwhakamahere Taiao i LB Planning no Ngāmotu au.

My full name is Laura Catherine Buttimore

I am the Planner for the Te Atiawa Iwi Holdings Ltd and have been involved in the Papa Ponamu development since concept design stage.

To summarise my evidence, I make the following key points:

The application is for a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the PDP. The application was lodged under the ODP but given that the appeal period has closed under the PDP and that there were no appeals of relevance to the proposed application I believe consent is only necessary under the PDP. This aligns with Mr Robinson's S42A report.

The application is for 8 townhouses across two blocks, referred to as Block A and Block B with access and parking provided off Barrett Street. The proposed housing will be papakāinga housing for Te Ātiawa uri and meets the definition of papakāinga under the PDP.

Mr Robinson and I are in agreement on relevant provisions sought under the PDP with the exception of MRZ-S4 and MRZ-R32. Our difference in opinion is an interpretation issue, and regardless of the interpretation made by the Commissioner the relevant assessment criteria under these provisions are in my opinion met and this is agreed by Mr Robinson.

In my opinion, the applicant through evidence produced has been able to adequately address all relevant effects (related to relevant assessment criteria) and determine that the potential effects of the development are appropriate and less than what could occur on the site as a permitted activity under the PDP. The relevant effects in my opinion are limited to the following:

- Planned character;
- Streetscape effects;
- Privacy, outlook, amenity and shading effects on adjacent landowners;
- On-site and inter site amenity;
- Earthworks and construction effects; and
- Positive effects.

In my evidence I have addressed specifically each potential effect and how I believe the application ensures these effects are mitigated and or are appropriate in the context of the permitted baseline model provided in the evidence of Ms Saris. I believe the application is consistent with the relevant objectives and policies of the PDP, is consistent with the NPS-UD, the TRPS and the Te Atiawa Environmental Management Plan, Tai Whenua, Tai Tangata, Tai Ao. I believe the application gives effect to Part 2 of the RMA and is able to be granted.

I agree with the proposed conditions offered by Mr Robinson in his S42A with the minor amendment offered in my evidence.

He mihi ana ki a koe anō mo to wā l tēnēi rā.

No reira tēnā koutou katoa